

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/594,695	AKIYAMA ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Q. JANICE LI	1633

**All Participants:**

(1) Q. JANICE LI, PTO.

(2) Luke Kilyk, Appl. Rep.

**Date of Interview:** 25 January 2010

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph

**Claims discussed:**

34,35,38,39

**Prior art documents discussed:**

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner proposed claim amendment to obviate 112,2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph rejection. The applicant representative agreed to discuss the changes with the applicant.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Q. JANICE LI/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1633

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)